Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/559,230	KING, PETER F.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kambiz Zand	2132
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Kambiz Zand</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Ramin Mahbboubian.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 January 2006	Time: <u>2 <i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: independent claims Prior art documents discussed: Ginter and stefik Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the applicati of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief si 	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
·	A Ta	4
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that incorporation of the limitation of claim 8 into the claims 1, 15, 19, 44 and 45 claim language would enable the claims allowable over the prior art. It was also agreed that claims 8 and 20 be cancelled sincetheir similar limitations are being incorporated into independent claims above. It was further agreed that the changes be done by Examiner amendment inserting the above limitations after the limitation "client device" in order to expedite the process. It was also agreed that would be amended claims would overcome Ginter and Stefik (see enclosed PTO-892) in addition to the other prior art.

26/ob